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Homework #2

• Unlike HTTP, all fields are binary
  - Make sure to refresh pointer usage

• Question format:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>str1</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>strn</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>Query type</th>
<th>Query class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 byte</td>
<td>1 byte</td>
<td>2 bytes</td>
<td>2 bytes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  str1 size | str1 | ... | strn size | strn | 0 | Query type | Query class |
  1 byte

  question

• Create classes for fixed headers
  - Fill in the values (flags: DNS_QUERY and DNS_RD, nQuestions = 1)
  - Allocate memory for the packet
  - Fill in various fields into buffer

  class QueryHeader {
    u_short type;
    u_short class;
  };

  class FixedDNSHeader {
    u_short ID;
    u_short flags;
    u_short questions;
    ...
  };
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• High-level operation for DNS questions:

```c
char packet [MAX_DNS_LEN]; // 512 bytes is max
char host[] = "www.google.com";
int pkt_size = strlen(host) + 2 + sizeof(FixedDNSHeader) + sizeof(QueryHeader);

// fixed field initialization
FixedDNSHeader *dh = (FixedDNSHeader *) packet;
QueryHeader *qh = (QueryHeader*) (packet + pkt_size - sizeof(QueryHeader));
dh->ID = ... dh->flags = ...
...
qh->type = ...
qh->class = ...

// fill in the question
MakeDNSQuestion (dh + 1, host);
// transmit to Winsock
sendto (sock, packet, ...);
```

• If packet is incorrectly formatted, you will usually get no response; use Wireshark to check outgoing packets
Homework #2

• Formation of questions:
  makeDNSquestion (char* buf, char *host) {
    while(words left to copy){
      buf[i++] = size_of_next_word;
      memcpy (buf+i, next_word, size_of_next_word);
      i += size_of_next_word;
    }
    buf[i] = 0; // last word NULL-terminated
  }

• All answers start with an RR name, followed by a fixed DNS reply header, followed by the answer
  – Uncompressed answer (not common)
    0x3 “irl” 0x2 “cs” 0x4 “tamu” 0x3 “edu” 0x00
    <DNSanswerHdr> <ANSWER>
  – Compressed (2 upper bits 11, next 14 bits jump offset)
    0xC0 0x0C <DNSanswerHdr> <ANSWER>

• For type-A questions, the answer is a 4-byte IP
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- To check the header
  - Hex printout on screen
  - Use Wireshark
- What is sizeof(DNSanswerHdr) ?
  - The actual size is 10 bytes, but most structures in C/C++ are aligned/padded to 4 (or 8) byte boundary
- Remember to change struct packing of all classes that define binary headers to 1 byte
- Caveats (must be properly handled):
  - Exceeding array boundaries on jumps
  - Infinite looping on compressed answers

```c
class DNSanswerHdr {
    u_short type;
    u_short class;
    u_int ttl;
    u_short len;
};
```

```c
#pragma pack(push,1) // define class here
#pragma pack(pop)
```

```c
#pragma pack(push,1) // define class here
#pragma pack(pop)
```
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- How to check if compressed and read 14-bit offset?
  - Suppose array `char *ans` contains the reply packet
  - The answer begins within this array at position `curPos`

```
1 1 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x
```

14 bits

```
char *ans; // points to reply buffer
if (ans [curPos] >= 0xC0)
  // compressed; so jump
else
  // uncompressed, read next word
```

```
char *ans; // points to reply buffer
if ( (ans [curPos] >> 6) == 3)
  // compressed; so jump
else
  // uncompressed, read next word
```

- These examples generally fail
  - Use only `unsigned` chars when reading buffer!
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- Note that jumps may appear in mid-answer
  0x3 “irl” 0xC0 0x22 <DNSanswerHdr> <ANSWER>

- Jumps may be nested, but must eventually end with a 0-length word
  - Need to remember the position following the very first jump so that you can come back to read the answer

- Replies may be malicious or malformatted
  - Homework must avoid crashing

- If AAAA (IPv6) answers are present, skip
  - Use `DNSanswerHdr::len` to skip unknown types

- Caution with SuddenLink and dorms
  - Too many malformed packets may raise an alarm
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2.6 P2P file sharing
Domain Flux

- Viruses, trojan horses, rootkits, and various malware affect millions of computers nowadays
- 20 years ago, viruses mostly performed pranks or corrupted data, but this has changed
  - Modern attacks are often driven by financial gains
- Infected hosts are organized into botnets
  - Large collection of computers under control of a botmaster
- Early botnets used IRC (Internet Relay Chat) to send and receive commands

Case at hand: Jeremy Jaynes (arrested in 2004) sent an estimated 10M emails/day, pulling $750K/month income
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- Eventually, ISPs started blocking IRC traffic
  - Also, IRC servers were easy targets for shutdown and filtering (e.g., detection of encrypted commands and botnet channels)
- New generation of botnets uses dynamically changing rendezvous points called C&C (command & control)
  - Stealthy because C&C’s IP can rapidly change over time
  - Main problem: how does the botnet find the current C&C?
**Domain Flux 3**

- **Fast flux** is a method for discovering the IP address of C&C and other resources the botnet may need
  - Botmaster registers a domain (say xyz.com) and updates the A record of www.xyz.com with high frequency
- Botnet contacts nameserver ns.xyz.com and obtains the current IP of the C&C (or multiple ones)
  - Performs a type-A lookup of hash.ns.xyz.com
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- Main defense against botnet traffic is blocking communication with the C&C
  - Fast Flux makes it harder since the C&C changes over time and is load-balanced across several hosts
  - When C&C is blocked, botnet learns other locations quickly
- Fast flux can also be used to serve phishing content
  - Suppose email arrives to user with a link to www77.xyz.com
  - Botnet uses DNS to serve this request from a variety of compromised hosts
• Several benefits to serving HTTP content using fast flux
  – Difficult to trace IPs hosting content or block malicious URLs
  – Botnet is failure resilient -- if hosts are cleaned or go offline, there is automatic fail-over to other live hosts
  – Cheap in terms of bandwidth, simple to implement

• However, there is a problem
  – Suppose ISP or SpamAssasin blocks all requests for xyz.com or registrar disables xyz.com?
  – If xyz.com is taken down, the botnet freezes

• **Domain flux** aims to solve this issue
  – Botnet constantly generates random domain names and tries to resolve them to find the C&C
  – Much more difficult to trace and block

Nowadays, TLD servers auto-detect fastflux and block suspected domains in conjunction with the registrar
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• Toy example:
  – Suppose botnet computers generate names using this sequence: 1.com, 2.com, 3.com, 5.com, 8.com, 13.com, etc.
  – Current domain name stays in effect until it is blocked
  – Initially, botmaster registers 1.com and 34.com
  – When 1.com gets blocked, the botnet automatically switches to 34.com, while botmaster registers 144.com, and so on

• In reality, the botnet goes through thousands of failed lookup attempts until it finds an active domain
  – Can be detected from a huge number of failed DNS queries

• Domains may be too random to be human-produced
  – If so, machine-learning algorithms can be used to detect infected hosts that are attempting domain flux
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• In some cases, reverse engineering the random generator allows one to predict future domain names
  - By registering these domains, botnets can be hijacked
  - Researchers have shown this is possible in B. Stone-Gross et al., “Your botnet is my botnet: Analysis of a botnet takeover,” ACM CCS, 2009.

• How large are botnets? Some examples:
  - BredoLab (2009): 30M hosts, 3.6B emails/day
  - Conficker (2008): 10.5M hosts, 10B emails/day
  - Cutwail (2007): 1.5M hosts, 74B emails/day
  - Torpig (paper above): 180K hosts (theft of 500K bank accounts, credit cards)
  - Avalanche (2008-2016): phishing botnet w/500K hosts
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**Hybrid P2P**

- **Napster (1999)**
  - Application-layer protocol over TCP
  - Centralized directory server
- **Sequence of steps**
  - Connect to server, login
  - Upload your IP/port + list of files
  - Give server keywords for search
  - Select “best” answer (ping)
  - Download from peer
- **Single point of failure**
- **Performance bottleneck**
- **Target for litigation due to copyright infringement**
**Decentralized P2P**

- Napster folds in 2002
  - Other P2P systems take over (Gnutella, KaZaA, BitTorrent, eDonkey)
- Gnutella/0.4 (2001)
  - Public-domain protocol
  - Fully distributed design
- Many Gnutella clients implementing protocol
  - Limewire, Morpheus, BearShare

- How to find content?
- **Idea:** construct a graph
  - Edge between peer X and Y iff there’s a TCP connection between them
- All active peers and edges are called an **overlay network**
  - Peer typically connected to < 30 neighbors
- Search proceeds by flooding up to some depth
  - Limited-scope flooding
Decentralized P2P

- Queries are P2P
  - Inefficient due to huge volumes of traffic
  - Average degree $k$, depth of flood $d$, overhead $(k-1)^d$

- Downloads are P2P from a single user
  - Unreliable (peer departure or failure kills transfer)
  - Inefficient (asymmetry of upstream/downstream bandwidth)

- Join protocol (bootstrapping)
  - Find an entry peer $X$, flood its neighbors to obtain more candidates, establish connections to those who accept
Hierarchical P2P

- Gnutella/0.4 scaled to about 25K users and then choked
- Alternative construction proposed by KaZaA (2002)
  - Peer is either a group leader (supernode) or assigned to one
- Group leader tracks the content of all its children, acting like a mini-Napster
  - Peers query their group leaders, which flood the supernode graph until some number of matches found
  - Query-hits not routed, but sent directly to original supernode
Hierarchical P2P

- With 150 neighbors, this architecture is 150 times more efficient than Gnutella/0.4 in message overhead
  - With 389M downloads as of 2008, KaZaA was more popular than Napster ever was, accounting for 50% of ISP bandwidth in some regions and running 3M concurrent users
- Gnutella/0.6 soon adopted the same structure
  - Scaled to 6.5M online users, 60M unique visitors per week
- Additional features
  - Hashed file contents to identify exact version of files
  - Upload and request queuing at each user, rate-limiting
  - Parallel downloads from multiple peers
  - Support for crawl requests that reveal neighbors
Other P2P

- **Terminology**: user holding a complete file is a **seed**
  - Traditional systems download only from seeds
  - Seed departs, transfer fails
- **Idea**: let non-seeds grab chunks from each other
  - Peers organize into a group (torrent) based on the file they’re downloading
- **Traditional systems** download files **sequentially**
  - Starvation for final blocks

- **Idea**: maximize availability
  - Participants forced to serve chunks they have to others
  - **Rarest** chunk in torrent is always replicated first
- **Known as BitTorrent** (2001)
  - Protocol with many implementations
  - Requires **trackers** to keep torrent membership
  - At any time, more concurrent users than YouTube and Facebook combined
- **Built-in incentives to share**
  - Rate-limiting (**choking**) based on upload activity
Other P2P

- **Tor (Onion Router)**
  - Anonymity network of peers
  - Each packet sent through a random chain of P2P nodes
    - Final user relays packet towards destination
    - Return packets processed similarly along reverse path
- **Tor can be run thru an API**
  - Extremely slow
  - Many exit points are known and blocked by Google
- **Roughly 36M users**
- **Freenet**
  - Anonymous information exchange, hiding identities of communicating parties
- **Skype chat**
  - Video streaming services either directly between users or relayed through non-firewalled peers
- **Distributed Hash Tables**
  - General class of P2P systems that map information into high-dimensional search space with guaranteed bounds on delay to find content